# COMMITTEE STAFF PROCUREMENT BACKUP BOOK

# **FY 2001 BUDGET SUBMISSION**

February 2000

**BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION** 

## Ballistic Missile Defense Organization FY 2001 PRESIDENT S BUDGET SUBMISSION

Exhibit P-1 February 2000

Millions of Dollars

APPROPRIATION: 0300 PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

TOTAL

#### Line Ident FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 Qty / Cost Nomenclature Code Qty / Cost Qty / Cost No. BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: MAJOR EQUIPMENT National Missile Defense 0.000 0.000 28 Α 0 0 74.530 27 PATRIOT PAC-3 0 187.400 32 343.773 40 365.457 Α Navy Area TBMD Program A 42.700 18.143 0 0.000 30 $TMD-BM/C^3I$ 29 Α 22.500 0.000 3.975

252.600

355.900

1,210.200

# PROCUREMENT, DEFENSEWIDE Justification

## (\$ s in Thousands)

FY	1999	Actual	252,601
FY	2000	Estimate	361,916
FΥ	2001	Estimate	443,962
FΥ	2002	Estimate	1,881,140

## Ballistic Missile Defense Organization

## Purpose and Scope of Work

These funds provide for the purchase of the latest technologically advanced systems necessary for locating, identifying, tracking and destroying ground launched ballistic missiles.

## Justification of Funds

National Missile Defense: The NMD Program was designated a Major Defense Acquisition Program (MDAP) in April 1996. The technological maturity of the system will be accessed at a Deployment Readiness Review (DRR) in 3Q/00. Following this review a decision may be made to complete system development and field an initial C1 capability system in Alaska by the end of the FY 2005 and an expanded capability, C1 Prime, by the end of FY 2007.

The NMD system consists of a weapon system, ground based sensors, and a Battle Management Command, Control, and Communications (BMC3) system. The interceptor consists of an Exoatmospheric Kill Vehicle (EKV) atop a Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) booster stack. The ground-based sensors include the development of an X-band radar (XBR) and the upgrade of existing early warning radars (UEWR). The BMC3 system includes integration with

existing national command and control systems, a ground communication network, and a communication system to transmit data to and from the interceptor while in flight. The NMD system will also use space-based assets for threat launch detection and tracking. The Air Force Space Based Infrared System — Low-Earth Orbit (SBIRS Low) is an integral part of enhancing future NMD capabilities.

The reduction is due to a realignment within the National Missile Defense program acquisition strategy to support FY05 program C1 and C1 Prime. Funds were realigned to the RDT&E appropriation.

PATRIOT Advanced Capability 3 (PAC-3: The PAC-3 provides a significant increase in firepower, lethality, and defended battlespace through a series of integrated, phased improvements to the ground radar, communications, and command and control system, and introduces the new PAC-3 missile. The PAC-3 missile is a high velocity, hit-to-kill, surface-to-air missile with the range, accuracy and lethality necessary to effectively intercept and destroy tactical missiles to include those armed with Weapons of Mass Destruction. The reduction of missiles in FY01 is due to the updated cost position which identified potential cost risk to the FY00/FY01 missile procurement.

Navy Area Theater Ballistic Missile Defense (TBMD): The Navy Area TBMD provides for modification to the AEGIS Combat System (ACS) to include AWS modifications to the command and decision system, the Radar System (AN/SPY-1B/D) and the AEGIS Display Systems, as well as the production of the Theater Ballistic Missile Defense version of the SM-2, the Block IVA, and production transition efforts required for production. The reduction of missile quantities in FY00/FY01 is due to Congressional reprogramming of \$38M from procurement to RDT&E in FY00 and reprogramming of FY01 procurement funds to RDT&E to cover the rebaseline effort.

 $\underline{\text{TMD-BM/C}^3I:}$  Procurement provides for management, software support, and upgrade requirements for JTIDS/MIDS terminals via various TMD platforms.

		Exhibit P-	40, Budget	Item Justific	ation Sheet			Date:		February 2000				
Appropriation / Budget Activity/S	Serial No:					P-1 Item Nomenclature:								
	Procurement, Defense	e-wide / 1 / Major Equi	ipment			NATIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION (C02400)								
Program Elements for Code B Ite	ems:			Code:	Other Related Progr	am Elements:								
	0208871C			В			0603	871C						
	Prior Years	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	To Complete			
Proc Qty								29	60	58				
Gross Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.5	1,536.5	1,221.5	1,238.2	1,078.6	1,655.8			
Less PY Adv Proc														
Plus CY Adv Proc														
Net Proc (P-1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.5	1,536.5	1,221.5	1,238.2	1,078.6	1,655.8			
Initial Spares														
Total Proc Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.0 74.5 1,536.5 1,221.5 1,238.2 1,078.6 1,6								
Flyaway U/C														
Wpn Sys Proc U/C														

DESCRIPTION: The National Missile Defense (NMD) Program was designated a Major Defense Acquisition Program (MDAP) in April 1996. The goal of the NMD program develop, demonstrate and maintain an option to deploy a national missile defense system to defend the United States against a limited strategic ballistic missile threat by a nation. The technological maturity of the system will be accessed at a Deployment Readiness Review (DRR) in 3Q/00. Following this review a decision may be made to consistent and field an initial C1 capability system in Alaska by the end of FY2005 and an expanded capability by the end of FY2007.

The NMD system consists of a weapon system, ground based sensors, and a Battle Management Command, Control, and Communications (BMC3) system. The intercept consists of an Exoatmospheric Kill Vehicle (EKV) atop a Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) booster stack. The ground-based sensors include the development of an X-bar (XBR) and the upgrade of existing early warning radars (UEWR). The BMC3 system includes integration with existing national command and control systems, a ground communication network, and a communication system to transmit data to and from the interceptor while in flight. The NMD system will also use space-based assets for three detection and tracking. The Air Force Space Based Infrared System is an integral part of enhancing future NMD capabilities.

Total Prog 147 6,805.2 6,805.2

efense Acquisition Program (MDAP) in April 1996. The goal of the NMD program is to to defend the United States against a limited strategic ballistic missile threat by a rogue ness Review (DRR) in 3Q/00. Following this review a decision may be made to complete 105 and an expanded capability by the end of FY2007.

agement Command, Control, and Communications (BMC3) system. The interceptor 3) booster stack. The ground-based sensors include the development of an X-band radar ides integration with existing national command and control systems, a ground erceptor while in flight. The NMD system will also use space-based assets for threat launch enhancing future NMD capabilities.

Exhibit P5E, Weapon		Appropriation/ Bud		erial No: / Major Equipment			n Nomenclature: MISSILE DEFENS	SE INTEGRATION		Weapon System T	ype:
System Cost Analysis (Extended)		Procurement, Den	ense-wide / i	/ Major Equipment		INATIONAL	(C02400)	SE INTEGRATION			
Weapon System	ID	ı	Prior Year	s		FY 97	(C02400)		FY 98		
Cost Elements	CD	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCos
		\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000
Non-Recurring											
Support											
Element Test & Evaluation											
Operational Site Activation											
SE/PM											
Spares SUBTOTAL											
BOBIOTAL											
Neapon System Prime Mission Hardware											
KBR Prime Mission Hardware											
BMC3 Prime Mission Hardware											
JEWR Prime Mission Hardware											
TOTAL											
IOIAL											
			I								

Date: Feb	ruary 2000
FY 99	
Qty	UnitCost
Each	\$000
	,

Exhibit P5E, Weapon		Appropriation/ Bud					n Nomenclature:			Weapon System Ty	pe:
System Cost Analysis (Extended)		Procurement, Defe	ense-wide / 1	/ Major Equipment		NATIONAL	MISSILE DEFENS	SE INTEGRATION			
			=>/.44		T		(C02400)	T			
Weapon System	ID		FY 00			FY 01			FY 02		
Cost Elements	CD	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost
		\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000
Non-Recurring								59475			8317
Support					1830			184613			18758
Element Test & Evaluation					1030			49634			6013
Operational Site Activation								31156			6066
Operational Site Activation								282567			22946
SE/PM											
Spares					4000			4931			30114
SUBTOTAL					1830			612376			65114 <sup>-</sup>
Weapon System Prime Mission Hardware								140117			226513
XBR Prime Mission Hardware					8700			345276	1	345276	
BMC3 Prime Mission Hardware					58700			357457			205373
UEWR Prime Mission Hardware					5300			81257	1	81257	138522
TOTAL					74530			1536483			1221549

February 2000 FY 03 UnitCost \$000 Qty Each 29 7811 46174

Exhibit P5E, Weapon		Appropriation/ Budg Procurement, Defe					n Nomenclature:  MISSILE DEFENS	E INTEGRATION		Weapon System T	ype:
System Cost Analysis (Extended)		Procurement, Dele	rise-wide / i /	wajor Equipment		NATIONAL	(C02400)	EINTEGRATION			
Weapon System	ID		FY 04			FY 05	(C02400)	Cos	t to Com	plete	
Cost Elements	CD	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost
		\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000
Non-Recurring Support Element Test & Evaluation Operational Site Activation SE/PM Spares		205294 53804 16737 234065 24025			273798 23504 29 309610 12361			755345 11824 22 597449 38254			1426 16084 1989 1086 16531 1096
SUBTOTAL		533925			619302			1402894			38214
Weapon System Prime Mission Hardware		607037	60	10117	456560	58	7872	252928			16831
XBR Prime Mission Hardware											35397
BMC3 Prime Mission Hardware		35225			2787						65954
UEWR Prime Mission Hardware		62020	1	62020							28709
TOTAL		1238207			1078649			1655822			680524

February 2000 Total UnitCost \$000 Qty Each 147 11450 353976 57420

Item No. Page 2 of 2

	E	chibit P-40, I	Budget Item J	ustification Sh	eet		Date:		February 2000					
Appropriation / Budget Activity/Serial	No:				P-1 Item Nomenclature	9:								
	Procurement / 3	3					PATRIOT A	DV CAPABILITY-3 (PAG	C-3) (C02257)					
Program Elements for Code B Items:			Code:	Other Related Progra	Program Elements:									
	Prior Years	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	To Complete				
Proc Qty		20		32	40	28	44	76	52	720				
Gross Cost	975.4	316.8	187.4	343.8	365.5	337.7	346.3	406.4	307.6	2382.0				
Less PY Adv Proc														
Plus CY Adv Proc														
Net Proc (P-1)	975.4	277.5	156.4	323.8	338.3	321.4	329.9	391.3	300.3	2,382.0				
Initial Spares		39.3	31.0	20.0	27.2	16.3	16.4	15.1	7.3					
Total Proc Cost	975.4	316.8	187.4	343.8	365.5	337.7	346.3	406.4	307.6	2382.0				
Flyaway U/C														
Wpn Sys Proc U/C														

DESCRIPTION: PATRIOT is an advanced Surface-to-Air guided missile system with a high single shot kill probability capable of operation in the presence of Electronic Countermeasures (ECM) and able to conduct multiple simultaneous engagements against high performance air breathing targets and ballistic missiles likely to be encount US Forces in the 90's and beyond. The system utilizes a multifunction Phased Array Radar, a digital computer controlling system function, a guidance system combining command and homing (track-via-missile) features, and provides the operator the ability to control operations. PATRIOT totally replaced Nike Hercules and partially replace HAWK. It has the advantage of reducing manpower and logistics costs associated with replaced systems while providing improved high and medium altitude air defense. Deployment is to the field army and the system is integrated with the U.S. Air Force and U.S. Navy in the overall air defense of theater operations. The PATRIOT Advance Capability (PAC-3) program is a result of a series of integrated, phased system improvements in combination with the PAC-3 missile which uses hit-to-kill technology. More to the system, which includes radar enhancements, communications upgrades, and increased command, control, and computer capability, will increase PATRIOT's effecti survivability, flexibility of defense design, footprint, and detection of smaller low radar cross section targets.

JUSTIFICATION: The above funding is required to support the planned PAC-3 PATRIOT system through modification of existing ground support equipment and procure the PAC-3 missiles. Quantities in the table above are for the missile procurement, while procurement costs are a combination of missile costs and ground system modifications. The FY 2002 quantity has been reduced due to the requirement to procure Initial Production Facilities (IPF) to achieve planned rate in FY 2004.

Total Prog
1012
5968.9
5796.3
172.6
5968.9

nigh single shot kill probability capable of operation in the presence of Electronic st high performance air breathing targets and ballistic missiles likely to be encountered by dar, a digital computer controlling system function, a guidance system combining o control operations. PATRIOT totally replaced Nike Hercules and partially replaced replaced systems while providing improved high and medium altitude air defense.

U.S. Navy in the overall air defense of theater operations. The PATRIOT Advanced nents in combination with the PAC-3 missile which uses hit-to-kill technology. Modification eased command, control, and computer capability, will increase PATRIOT's effectivity, iss section targets.

T system through modification of existing ground support equipment and procurement of procurement costs are a combination of missile costs and ground system modification Production Facilities (IPF) to achieve planned rate in FY 2004.

Exhibit P-5, Weapon		Appropriation/ Budg Procurement, Defe					m Nomenclature: ADV CAPABILITY-3		Weapon System Ty	/pe:	
WPN SYST Cost Analysis											
Weapon System	ID		98 and Pr			FY 99			FY 00		
Cost Elements	CD	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost
Missils Handress Description		\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000
Missile Hardware Recurring Subtotal		102489 102489	20	5124				154000 154000	32	4813	17600 17600
Non-Recurring Costs Initial Prod. Fac Subtotal											
Ground Support Equipment Subtotal		645999 645999									
Support Cost Contractor Engineering Government/Software Engineering Sys Engrg/Proj Mgmt (SEPM) Integrated Logistics Support Depot Maint Plant Eq (DMPE) Fielding Impact		27356 18085 13440 11277 2281 8362 15000			29740 20065 16352 11817 1500 8362			31924 22000 19168 12063 1500 8362			1857-1 1271 1840 1182 1500 8963
Subtotal		95801			87836			95017			7197
Gross P-1 End Cost Less: Prior Year Adv Proc Net P-1 Full Funding Cost Plus: P-1 CY Adv Proc Other Non P-1 Cost		844289			87836			249017			247975
Initial Spares Mods Total		39300 408600 1292189			31000 68608 187444			19950 74806 343773			27200 90282 365452

Date:			
_	Februa	ary 2000	
FY (	)1		
Qty		UnitCost	
Eac	h	\$000	
Lac	40	440	^
	70	440	·

E)	khibit P-5a, Budget Procureme	nt History and Planning										
Appropriation / Budget Activity/Serial No:	, 3	Weapon Syste			P-1 Line Item	Nomenclature:						
Procurement, Defense-wide / 1 / Major Equipment						PATRIOT A	ADV CAPABILITY-3	/ CAPABILITY-3 (PAC-3) (C				
WBS Cost Elements:	Contractor and Location	Contract Method	Location of PCO	Award Date	Date of First	QTY	Unit Cost	Specs Avail				
Fiscal Years		and Type			Delivery	Each	\$000	Now?				
PAC-3 Missile												
FY 98	LMVS, Dallas, TX	SS/CPIF	AMCOM	Dec-99	Apr-01	20	5124	NA				
FY 00	LMVS, Dallas, TX		AMCOM	Apr-00	Apr-02	32	4813					
FY 01	LMVS, Dallas, TX			Apr-01	Apr-03	40	4400					

**REMARKS:** Traditional fabrication specifications were not purchased on this program (Performance specifications were procured under EMD). Award of FY98 buy awaiting second intercept as required by FY98 legislation.

February 2000 )2257) Date Revsn RFP Issue Date Avail Jun-97 Jun-97

s were procured under EMD).

FY 00 / 01 BUDGE	FY 00 / 01 BUDGET PRODUCTION SCHEDULE							P-1 Item Nomenclature:  PATRIOT ADV CAPABILITY-3 (PAC-3) (C02257)										Date:													
				PROC	ACCEP.	BAL					Fis	cal \	Year		ء لہ مد	V.	ar 99						Fi	scal		00 dar Y					
	M F	FY	S E	QTY Each	PRIOR TO	DUE AS OF		l <sub>N</sub>	D		F	М	Α	М	enda J	J	1		0	l N	D	J	F	<u>С</u>	A	M					
COST ELEMENTS	R		R V		1 OCT	1 OCT	O C T	N O V	E C	A N	E B	A R	P R	A Y	Ŭ N	Ů	A U G	S E P	0 C T	N O V	E C	A N	E B	A R	P R	A Y					
		E) / 00																													
PAC-3 Missile	1	FY 98	A	20	0	20															A					$\vdash$					
PAC-3 Missile	1	FY 00	A	32	0	32					$\vdash$													-	Α	$\vdash$					
PAC-3 Missile	1	FY 01	_ A	40	0	40																									
							0 C T	N 0 N	DEC	JAN	F E B	M A R	A P R	M A Y	JUN	JUL	A U G	S E P	0 C T	N O V	DEC	¬ « Z	F E B	M A R	A P R	M A Y					
M		Р	RODUCT	ION RATES		REACHED		FR								EAD T		) at		MFR			TOTA			EMARI					
F NAME / LOCATION		MIN.		1-8-5	MAX.	D+	Nur	Number 1	INITI <i>A</i>	AL.	ı		Pr	ior 1 C	vcl.	ı At	ter 1 C	JCI.	A	fter 1 (	JGI.	AT	ter 1 C		belo	an LRIP ow the e					
1 Lockheed Martin Vought Systems		20		20	40		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1_	<u> </u>		I		RDER				8			1			24			25			erial for Y98. A
									INITIA REOF		-1														awa	iting a					
									INITIA																requ	uired in					
									REOF																						
							·		INITIA REOF																						
							INITIAL																								
				-					REOF	RDER																					

Febru	February 2000											
				L								
	00	ı		A								
J U N	U U	A	S E P	T E R								
N	L	A U G	P	R								
				20								
				32								
				40								
_												
N N	J J	A U G	S E P									
(S												

As an LRIP effort, production rates are below the economic range. Long lead material for the FY98 buy was funded in FY98. Award of LRIP contracts are awaiting a second intercept as required in FY98 legislation.

FY 00 / 01 BUDGET	PRODUC	TION SCI	HEDU	LE			P-1 I	Item N	Nomer		re: ATRIOT	ADV	CAPA	BILIT	Y-3 (P	AC-3)	(C022	57)					Date	:		_
	М		S	PROC QTY	ACCEP. PRIOR	BAL DUE				ı	Fis	cal \	<b>′</b> ear		nda	r Vo	ar 01						Fi	scal `		02 dar Y
	FR	FY	E	Each	TO 1 OCT	AS OF 1 OCT	0	N	D	J	F	М	A	М	J	J	1		0	N	D		F	М	Α	м
COST ELEMENTS	K		R V		1 001	1 001	O C T	O V	E C	A N	E B	A R	P R	A Y	U N	U L	A U G	S E P	O C T	N O V	E C	A N	E B	A R	P R	A Y
PAC-3 Missile	1	FY 98	A	20	0	20							4	4	4	4	4									
PAC-3 Missile	1	FY 00	$\frac{\Delta}{A}$	32	0	32			$\vdash$				_	4	-	_	+					-			4	4
PAC-3 Missile	1	FY 01	$\frac{\lambda}{A}$	40	0	40							A													
							O C T	N O V	D E C	J A N	F E B	M A R	A P R	M A Y	J U	JUL	A U G	S E P	O C T	N O V	D E C	J A N	F E B	M A R	A P R	M A Y
M F		Р	RODUCT	ION RATES		REACHED		FR mber					Dri	AD ior 1 O		EAD Τ Ι Δ <del>ι</del>	IME fter 1 C	)ct	Δ	MFR fter 1 0			TOTA ter 1 C			EMARI <sup>*</sup> an LRIP (
R NAME / LOCATION		MIN.		1-8-5	MAX.	D+			INITIA					7			1	, J.		23	J.,		24		belov	w the eco
1 Lockheed Martin Vought Systems		20		20	40				REOF	RDER	-1	$\exists$		8			1			23			24			ired in F
									REOF	RDER																
									INITI	AL RDER	-						H									
									INITIA	٩L																
	<u> </u>						_		REOF		-															
							•			RDER		-					$\vdash$									

Febru	February 2000												
				L									
ear (	02			A									
		А	s	Т									
J U N	Ŋ	A U G	S E P	T E R									
N	L	G	Р	IN.									
4	4	4	4	8									
				40									
J	J	Α	S										
J U N	J J	A U G	S E P										
(S													

As an LRIP effort, production rates are below the economic range. Award of LRIP contracts are awaiting a second intercept as required in FY98 legislation.

	FY 00 / 01 BUDGET PRO	FY 00 / 01 BUDGET PRODUCTION SCHEDULE  PROC ACCEP.									nclatu P.	ire: ATRIO	T ADV	/ CAPA	ABILIT	Y-3 (P.	AC-3)	(C022	57)					Date	:		
		М		S	PROC QTY	ACCEP. PRIOR	BAL DUE					Fis	scal	Year		enda	r Yea	ar 03						Fis	scal `		04 dar Y
	COST ELEMENTS	F R	FY	E R V	Each	TO 1 OCT	AS OF 1 OCT	O C T	N O V	D E C	J A N	F E B	M A R	A P R	M A Y	J U N	J U L	A U G	S E P	O C T	N O V	D E C	J A N	F E B	M A R	A P R	M A Y
	DAC 2 Missile	1	FY 98	A	20	20																-					
	PAC-3 Missile PAC-3 Missile	<del>   </del>	FY 00	A	32	20 24	8	4	4									$\vdash$				-					-
	PAC-3 Missile	<del>                                     </del>	FY 01	$\frac{\Lambda}{A}$	40	0	40	_	_					4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4				
	FAC-3 IVIISSILE	+	1101		40		40									_		-									
								O C T	N O V	D E C	J A N	F E B	M A	A P	M A Y	J	J U	A	S E P	O C T	N O V	D E C	J A N	F E	M A	A P	M A
M			D	RODUCTI	ON RATES				V FR	С	N	В	A R	R		N	L EAD T	U G	Р	Т	V MFR			E B TOTAI	A R	R	A Y EMARI
F			r		CATOTILO		REACHED		mber					Pr	rior 1 C			fter 1 C	Oct.	А	fter 1 (			ter 1 C		As a	ın LRIP e
R	NAME / LOCATION		MIN. 20		-8-5 20	MAX.	D +			INITIA					7			1			23			24		Delo	w the eco
1	Lockheed Martin Vought Systems		20		20	40				REOF INITIA					8			1			23			24			
口										REOF	RDER																
H										INITIA REOF					$\vdash$			-			$\vdash$						
口										INITIA	٩L																
Н								_		REOF INITIA																	
Ц										REOF																	

Febru	uary 20	000		
ear (	04			L A
J U N	JUL	A U G	S E P	A T E R
J U N	J U	A U G	S E P	

As an LRIP effort, production rates are below the economic range.

	Exhibit	t P-40M Budget I	tem Justifica	ation Sheet			Date		February 2000	
Appropriation / Budget Activity	y/Serial No.				P-1 Item Nomenclature	e				
	Procurement, Defense-wide / 1 / Majo	or Equipment					PATRIOT AD	/ CAPABILITY-3 (PAC	C-3) (C02257)	
Program Elements for Code E	3 Items		Code	Other Related Program	m Elements					
		In the								
Description OSIP NO.	Classification	Fiscal Years	EV 1000	EV 2000	EV 2004	EV 2002	EV 2002	EV 2004	EV 2005	TO
Radar Phase III	Classification	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	TC
1-89-03-1231		213.2	35.4	34.2	33.8	33.6	33.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	crimination Identification (CD		55.4	J-1.Z	33.0	33.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-92-03-1238	Chimination Identification (CL	77.6	14.7	13.5	13.4	13.3	13.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Commo Enhanced Upgrade (		14.7	10.0	13.4	10.0	13.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-92-03-1233	commo Emilanced Opgrade	49.0	18.5	5.8	5.8	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Command and La	unch Svetem	49.0	10.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-95-03-1245	durion System	25.4	0.0	21.3	37.3	35.2	33.8	33.1	32.7	13.3
	it/Signal Processing Group	20.4	0.0	21.5	37.3	33.2	33.0	33.1	32.1	10.0
1-95-03-1244	il/olgilai i 100essilig Gloup	43.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-33-03-1244		70.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Totals		408.6	68.6	74.8	90.3	87.9	80.6	33.1	32.7	13.3

•	Total
	383.
	145.
	84.
	232.
	43.
	889.
	003.

#### INDIVIDUAL MODIFICATION Date February 2000 Radar Phase III 1-89-03-1231 MODIFICATION TITLE: MODELS OF SYSTEMS AFFECTED: Radar DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION: The objective of this modification is to increase the average power providing greater multifunction capability and increase the reliability and maintainability of the radar. Transmitter and receiver modifications will be made to the radar. DEVELOPMENT STATUS / MAJOR DEVELOPMENT MILESTONES: Planned Accomplished Preliminary Design Review 2QFY92 2QFY92 Critical Design Review (CDR) 3QFY93 3QFY93 Contractor Test and Evaluation (CTE) 4QFY99 1QFY00 Development Test and Evaluation (DTE) 1QFY00 1QFY00 Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOTE) 2QFY00 Installation Schedule: Pr Yr FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 1 3 3 Totals 12 Inputs 3 2 **Outputs** FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 To Totals

Dec 99

Nov 01

Months

3

ADMINISTRATIVE LEADTIME:

FY 2000

FY 2000

2

Dec 98

Nov 00

2

2

Inputs

**Outputs** 

Contract Dates:

**Delivery Date:** 

METHOD OF IMPLEMENTATION:

3

1

FY 1999

FY 1999

24 Months

54

54

Complete

PRODUCTION LEADTIME:

Dec 00

Nov 02

FY 2001

FY 2001

INDIVIDUAL MODIFICATION Date Februa Radar Phase III 1-89-03-1231 MODIFICATION TITLE (Cont): FINANCIAL PLAN: (\$ in Millions) FY 1998 and Prior FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 TC Qty Qty \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Qty Qty Qty Qty Qty Qty Qty RDT&E PROCUREMENT 157.1 29.9 29.6 6 29.5 Kit Quantity 24 6 31.0 6 6 6 29.4 Installation Kits Installation Kits, Nonrecurring Equipment Equipment, Nonrecurring 35.7 **Engineering Change Orders** Data Training Equipment Support Equipment Other Interim Contractor Support Installation of Hardware FY 1998 & Prior Eqpt -- Kits 24 20.4 FY 1999 Eqpt -- Kits 6 4.4 FY 2000 Eqpt -- Kits 6 4.3 FY 2001 Eqpt -- Kits 6 4.2 FY 2002 Eqpt -- kits 6 4.2 FY 2003 Eqpt -- kits 6 4.1 FY 2004 Eqpt -- kits FY 2005 Eqpt -- kits TC Equip-Kits 4.2 24 20.4 6 4.4 4.3 4.2 4.1 Total Installment 213.2 35.4 34.2 33.8 33.6 33.6 **Total Procurement Cost** 

ary 2000	
, 2000	
TO	
Qty	\$
54	306.8
	35.7
24	20.4
	4.4
6	4.3
6	4.2
6	4.2
6	4.
54	41.6
	383.8
	Qty 54 6 6 6 6 6 6

#### INDIVIDUAL MODIFICATION Date February 2000 Classification Discrimination Identification (CDI) Phase III 1-92-03-1238 MODIFICATION TITLE: MODELS OF SYSTEMS AFFECTED: Radar **DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:** CDI III involves the integration of state-of-the-art High Range Resolution (HRR) technology into the PATRIOT radar. This capability will provide for Tactical Ballistic Missile (TBM)/debris discrimination and catergorization of Air Breathing Targets (ABT). DEVELOPMENT STATUS / MAJOR DEVELOPMENT MILESTONES: Planned Accomplished Preliminary Design Review 2QFY94 2QFY94 Critical Design Review (CDR) 2QFY94 2QFY94 Contractor Test and Evaluation (CTE) **4QFY99** 1QFY00 Development Test and Evaluation (DTE) 1QFY00 1QFY00 Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOTE) 2QFY00 Installation Schedule: Pr Yr FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 1 3 3 3 Totals 12 1 1 1 Inputs 2 2 2 **Outputs** 3 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 To Totals 2 3 3 Complete

Dec 99

Nov 01

Months

2

Inputs

**Outputs** 

Contract Dates:

**Delivery Date:** 

METHOD OF IMPLEMENTATION:

1

FY 1999

FY 1999

2

Dec 98

Nov 00

ADMINISTRATIVE LEADTIME:

FY 2000

FY 2000

18 Months

PRODUCTION LEADTIME:

Dec 00

Nov 02

FY 2001

FY 2001

54

54

INDIVIDUAL MODIFICATION Date Februa Classification Discrimination Identification (CDI) Phase III 1-92-03-1238 MODIFICATION TITLE (Cont): FINANCIAL PLAN: (\$ in Millions) FY 1998 and Prior FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 TC Qty \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Qty Qty Qty Qty \$ Qty Qty Qty Qty RDT&E PROCUREMENT 24 63.3 13.6 12.5 12.4 6 12.2 Kit Quantity 6 6 6 6 12.3 Installation Kits Installation Kits, Nonrecurring Equipment Equipment, Nonrecurring 7.6 **Engineering Change Orders** Data Training Equipment Support Equipment Other Interim Contractor Support Installation of Hardware FY 1998 & Prior Eqpt -- Kits 24 6.7 FY 1999 Eqpt -- Kits 6 1.1 FY 2000 Eqpt -- Kits 6 1.0 FY 2001 Eqpt -- Kits 6 1.0 FY 2002 Egpt -- kits 6 1.0 FY 2003 Eqpt -- kits 6 1.0 FY 2004 Eqpt -- kits FY 2005 Eqpt -- kits TC Equip-Kits 24 6.7 6 1.1 6 1.0 6 1.0 1.0 1.0 Total Installment 14.7 13.5 13.4 13.3 13.2 77.6 **Total Procurement Cost** 

CDI) Phase III 1-92-03-1238

ary 2000	
TO	ΓAL
Qty	\$
54	126.3
	7.0
	7.6
24	6.7
6	1.1
6	1.0
6	1.0
6	1.0
6	1.0
54	11.8
	145.7

#### INDIVIDUAL MODIFICATION February 2000

Remote Launch Commo Enhanced Upgrade (RLCEU) 1-92-03-1233 MODIFICATION TITLE:

MODELS OF SYSTEMS AFFECTED: ICC. ECS. CRG

#### DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:

The Remote Launch/Communication Enhancement Upgrade (RLCEU) effort focuses on improving communications at the "below" battalion level through the introduction of new switching equipment and a new communications processor at the battery level in conjunction with a conversion to Band IV UHF throughout battalion. Additionally, the project will develop and field a remote launch capability permitting emplacement of a remote launcher farm in excess of 30 Km from the parent Engagement Control System (ECS). This project is required to meet PAC-3 requirements for increased battlespace, lethality and rate of fire; additional Operational Requirement Document (ORD) requirements for interoperability and communications are satisfied by this effort.

#### DEVELOPMENT STATUS / MAJOR DEVELOPMENT MILESTONES:

Accomplished Planned 2QFY96 3QFY96

Preliminary Design Review Critical Design Review (CDR) 4QFY96 4QFY96 Contractor Test and Evaluation (CTE) **4QFY99** 1QFY00 Development Test and Evaluation (DTE) 1QFY00 1QFY00

Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOTE) 2QFY00

### Installation Schedule:

	Pr Yr		FY	1999			FY 2	.000			FY 20	001			FY 2	002			FY	2003	
	Totals	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Inputs	5							8	3			4	4	6		6	4			4	3
Outputs	5								4	4	3		4	4	3	3	3	3	4		4

		FY:	2004			FY 2	2005			FY 2	2006			FY 2	2007			То	Totals
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	3	4	Complete	
Inputs			4	3															54
Outputs	3			4	3														54

METHOD OF IMPLEMENTATION:	ADMINISTRATIVE LEADTIME:	3 Months	PRODUCTION LEADTIME:	18	Months
---------------------------	--------------------------	----------	----------------------	----	--------

Contract Dates: FY 1999 Dec 98 FY 2000 Dec 99 FY 2001 Dec 00 **Delivery Date:** FY 1999 Jun 00 FY 2000 Jun 01 FY 2001 Jun 02

ary 2000	
	TAL
Qty	\$
54	77.8
16	
14	
10 7	0.9
7	0.4
54	7.
04	84.9

## INDIVIDUAL MODIFICATION Date February 2000 Command and Launch System 1-95-03-1245 MODIFICATION TITLE: MODELS OF SYSTEMS AFFECTED: Launcher, ECS DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION: The Command and Launch System modifications combined the electronics of the Launcher Electronics Module, Missile Management Station, their associated control panels and the Launcher Missile Round Distributor into a common electronics unit capable of providing launch functions for the PAC-2 and PAC-3 missiles. It also consists of the addition of the Fire Solution Computer in the ECS which interfaces with the PATRIOT Expanded Weapon Control Computer (EWCC) accepting track data and supplying target and launch data. DEVELOPMENT STATUS / MAJOR DEVELOPMENT MILESTONES: Major milestones not applicable. Installation Schedule: Pr Yr FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 3 3 Totals 10 3 2 5 6 6 Inputs **Outputs** 10 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 To Totals

6

FY 1999

FY 1999

Inputs

**Outputs** 

Contract Dates:

**Delivery Date:** 

METHOD OF IMPLEMENTATION:

6

6

ADMINISTRATIVE LEADTIME:

FY 2000

FY 2000

2

7

6

Feb 00

Oct 00

3

6

Months

3

FY 2001

FY 2001

PRODUCTION LEADTIME:

Feb 01

Oct 01

Months

156

156

Complete

8

INDIVIDUAL MODIFICATION Date Februa Command and Launch System 1-95-03-1245 MODIFICATION TITLE (Cont): FINANCIAL PLAN: (\$ in Millions) FY 1998 and Prior FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 TC Qty \$ \$ \$ \$ Qty Qty Qty Qty \$ Qty Qty Qty \$ Qty RDT&E PROCUREMENT 22.9 19.2 22 33.6 30.4 26 29.8 29.5 9 12.0 Kit Quantity 10 11 26 31.7 26 26 Installation Kits Installation Kits, Nonrecurring Equipment Equipment, Nonrecurring **Engineering Change Orders** Data Training Equipment Support Equipment Other Interim Contractor Support Installation of Hardware FY 1998 & Prior Eqpt -- Kits 10 2.5 FY 1999 Eqpt -- Kits FY 2000 Eqpt -- Kits 11 2.1 FY 2001 Eqpt -- Kits 22 3.7 26 FY 2002 Egpt -- kits 3.5 FY 2003 Eqpt -- kits 26 3.4 FY 2004 Eqpt -- kits 26 3.3 FY 2005 Eqpt -- kits 26 3.2 TC Equip-Kits 1.3 9 2.5 11 2.1 22 3.7 3.5 26 3.4 26 3.3 1.3 Total Installment 10 26 3.2

37.3

35.2

33.8

33.1

21.3

25.4

**Total Procurement Cost** 

32.7

13.3

TO	ΓΔΙ
Qty	\$
۵.,	<u> </u>
156	209.1
130	209.1
10	2.5
10	2.0
11	2.1
22	3.7
26	3.5
26	3.4
26	3.3
26	3.2
9	1.3
156	23.0
130	232.1

ary 2000

						IND	IVIDUA	L MODIF	ICATION	l						Date	e		February 20	000
MODIFICATION TITLE	Rada	ar Con	trol Un	it/Signa	l Proc	essing	Grou	р 1-95-	03-124	4										
MODELS OF SYSTEM		ED: I	Radar																	
DESCRIPTION / JUST	IFICATION:																			
This modfication	provides	upgra	des to	the con	itrol ar	nd sign	al pro	cessing	group	s in pre	eparation	for P	ost De	ployme	ent Bui	ld 5 sc	oftware	imple	mentati	on.
	•					J	•		, , ,	•	•			, ,						
DEVELOPMENT STAT	TIS / MA IO	P DEVE		NIT MII ES	STONE	Q.														
Major milestones			LOI WL	INT WILLS	JIONE	<b>.</b>														
iviajoi illilestories	ποι αρρικ	cable.																		
Installation Schedule:																				
	Pr Yr		FY 19				FY 2				FY 2001				FY 2002				FY 2003	1
	Totals	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3 4
Inputs	75																			
Outputs	75																			
		F) ( 0 (	20.4			E) / 0 /				E) / 00/		<u> </u>		E) / 0005	-			<del>-</del> I		<b>-</b>
	1	FY 20			FY 200			-	٠ .	FY 200		4	4	FY 2007		_	0	То		Totals
I	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	- 1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	Comp	iete		7/
Inputs																				7! 7!
Outputs METHOD OF IMPLEM					ADMINISTRATIVE LEADTIME: 6 Months								ODUCTI		DTIME:		L 6 Mc	onths		
Contract Dates: FY 1999							FY 2000	i iivi∟.		O IVIOIT	11115		2001	ON LEA	רוואו⊏.	,	J IVIC	111115		
Delivery Date:								FY 2000						2001						
Donvoly Date.	FY 1999							2000					1 1	2001						

INDIVIDUAL MODIFICATION Date Februa Radar Control Unit/Signal Processing Group 1-95-03-1244 MODIFICATION TITLE (Cont): FINANCIAL PLAN: (\$ in Millions) FY 1998 and Prior FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 Qty \$ Qty Qty \$ Qty \$ Qty \$ Qty \$ Qty Qty Qty RDT&E PROCUREMENT Kit Quantity 75 41.7 Installation Kits Installation Kits, Nonrecurring Equipment Equipment, Nonrecurring **Engineering Change Orders** Data Training Equipment Support Equipment Other Interim Contractor Support Installation of Hardware FY 1998 & Prior Eqpt -- Kits 75 1.7 FY 1999 Eqpt -- Kits FY 2000 Eqpt -- Kits FY 2001 Eqpt -- Kits FY 2002 Eqpt -- kits FY 2003 Eqpt -- kits FY 2004 Eqpt -- kits FY 2005 Eqpt -- kits TC Equip-Kits 75 1.7 Total Installment 43.4 **Total Procurement Cost** 

ary 2000	
TO	ΓAL
Qty	\$
75	41.
75	1.
75	1.
	43.

		Exhibit P-	-40, Budget	Item Justific	ation Sheet					February 2000				
Appropriation / Budget Activity/Se	erial No:					P-1 Item Nomenclatu	ıre:	I.						
Pr	ocurement, Defense	-wide / 1 / Major	Equipment				TBMD-AE	GIS TBM Upgrade	es (C12263)					
Program Elements for Code B Ite	ems:			Code:	Other Related Progr	d Program Elements:								
	Prior Years	FY 1997	FY1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	To Complete			
QUANITY (BMDO)					2			7	6	3	14			
Gross Cost	30.772	9.087	14.859	28.971	7.918	0.000	6.983	56.892	70.437	73.846	383.508			
Less PY Adv Proc														
Plus CY Adv Proc														
Net Proc (P-1)	30.772	9.087	14.859	28.971	7.918	0.000	6.983	56.892	70.437	73.846	383.508			
Initial Spares														
Total Proc Cost	30.772	9.087	14.859	28.971	7.918	0.000	6.983	56.892	70.437	73.846	383.508			
Flyaway U/C														
Wpn Sys Proc U/C														

The Navy Area Theater Ballistic Missile Defense (TBMD) Project builds on the national investment in AEGIS ships, AEGIS Weapon Systems (AWS), and Navy Standard M (SM-2) Block IV missiles. Two classes of ships continue to be deployed with the AEGIS combat system: CG-47 Ticonderoga-Class Cruisers and DDG-51 Burke-Class De In addition, four shore-centers will be upgraded with funding in FYs 1999 through 2000: (1) the Combat System Engineering Development Site (CSEDS), (2) the AEGIS Cc Center (ACC), (3) the AEGIS Education Center (AEC) and (4) the AEGIS Combat System Center (ACSC), to properly accommodate the CG-47 and DDG-51 combat syste ships and associated Vertical Launch System (VLS) Modifications. Funds provide for modifications to the AEGIS Combat System (ACS) to include modifications to the con and decision system, the AEGIS Display System, and the Radar System (AN/SPY-1B/D).

Total Prog
32
683.273
683.273

vestment in AEGIS ships, AEGIS Weapon Systems (AWS), and Navy Standard Missile 2 ombat system: CG-47 Ticonderoga-Class Cruisers and DDG-51 Burke-Class Destroyers.

1) the Combat System Engineering Development Site (CSEDS), (2) the AEGIS Computer 1 Center (ACSC), to properly accommodate the CG-47 and DDG-51 combat system for 72 diffications to the AEGIS Combat System (ACS) to include modifications to the command

		Exhibit P-	40, Budget	Item Justific	ation Sheet					February 2000			
Appropriation / Budget Activity/S	erial No:					P-1 Item Nomenclature:							
P	rocurement, Defense	-wide / 1 / Major	Equipment				Navy Area Ti	BMD SM-2 Block	IV A (C22263)				
Program Elements for Code B Ite	Program Elements for Code B Items:					ram Elements:							
	Prior Years	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	To Complete		
Proc Qty									32	43	413		
Gross Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.700	10.225	0.000	0.000	0.000	77.166	98.551	860.404		
Less PY Adv Proc													
Plus CY Adv Proc													
Net Proc (P-1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.700	10.225	0.000	0.000	0.000	77.166	98.551	860.404		
Initial Spares									3.279	4.127	34.542		
Total Proc Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.700	10.225	0.000	0.000	0.000	80.445	102.678	894.946		
Flyaway U/C													
Wpn Sys Proc U/C		·							2.514	2.388	2.167		

The Navy Area Theater Ballistic Missile Defense (TBMD) Project builds on the national investment in AEGIS ships, AEGIS Weapon Systems (AWS), and Navy Standard Missile 2 (SM-2) Block missiles. Missile procurement funds provide for production of the Theater Ballistic Missile Defense version of the SM-2 Block IVA, with the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO) fun production deliveries beginning in FY 2006. The SM-2 Block IVA will be capable of engaging Theater Ballistic Missiles in the endoatmosphere.

SM-2 Block IVA funding in FY99/00 includes production transition efforts (e.g., re-layout of printed wiring assemblies, replacement of obsolete parts, tests equipment upgrades, tests required ploadout, etc.) required for rate production.

Additional missile procurement funding, under Weapons Procurement, Navy Appropriations is budgeted by the Navy beginning in FY 2000. The Navy WPN funding will procure the remaining Block IVA variant missiles to achieve the total TBMD/Anti-Air-Warfare inventory objective. Because the SM-2 Block IVA upgrade is based on the Block IV acquisition strategy, and are product same production line as other SM-2 variant missiles, unit cost, total cost, and cost to complete information are influenced by the Navy procurement of those SM-2 variant missiles (primarily SM and SM-2 Block IV).

Total Prog 488 1060.046 1,060.046 41.948 1101.994 2.258

AEGIS ships, AEGIS Weapon Systems (AWS), and Navy Standard Missile 2 (SM-2) Block IV version of the SM-2 Block IVA, with the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO) funded or Ballistic Missiles in the endoatmosphere.

ring assemblies, replacement of obsolete parts, tests equipment upgrades, tests required prior to ship

sted by the Navy beginning in FY 2000. The Navy WPN funding will procure the remaining SM-2 the SM-2 Block IVA upgrade is based on the Block IV acquisition strategy, and are produced on the nation are influenced by the Navy procurement of those SM-2 variant missiles (primarily SM-2 Block III

Exhibit P-5, Weapon WPN SYST Cost Analysis		opropriation/ Budg rocurement, Defe	•	erial No: / Major Equipment			m Nomenclature: vy Area Procuremer	Weapon System T	ype:		
Weapon System	ID		FY 98			FY99		FY 00			
Cost Elements	CD	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost	Qty	UnitCost	TotalCost
		\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000	Each	\$000	\$000
AWS MODIFICATIONS HARDWARE PRODUCTION SUPPORT INSTALLATION/DESIGN SHIP QUALIFICATIONS DT&E		13,638 1,221			5,171 23,800			5,218 800 1,900			-
AWS TOTAL		14,859			28,971			7,918	2		
SM-2 Block IVA HARDWARE INITIAL SPARES NRE PRODUCTION SPT CANNISTERS					13,700			10,225			
SM-2 BLK IVA TOTAL		0			13,700			10,225			
TOTAL PROCUREMENT		14,859			42,671			18,143			-

## D. REMARKS:

AEGIS Weapon Systems (AWS) procurement funding in FY99/00 also includes upgrades to shore centers to properly accommodate TBMD combat system upç

SM-2 Block IVA funding in FY99/00 includes production transition efforts (e.g., re-layout of printed wiring assemblies, replacement of obsolete parts, test equipr upgrades, tests required prior to ship loadout, etc.) required for rate production.

Date: February 2000

	ruary 2000
FY 01	
Qty	UnitCost
Each	\$000
1	I

rades to shore centers to properly accommodate TBMD combat system upgrades.
rout of printed wiring assemblies, replacement of obsolete parts, test equipment

		Exhibit P-	40, Budget	Item Justific	ation Sheet			Date:		February 2000				
Appropriation / Budget Activity/S	Serial No:					P-1 Item Nomenclature:								
	Procurement, Defense	e-wide / 1 / Major Equi	ipment				Theater M	lissile Defense - BM/C	BI (C03261)					
Program Elements for Code B It	ems:			Code:	Other Related Progr	ram Elements:								
	Prior Years	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	To Complete			
Proc Qty				30										
Gross Cost	0.0	0.0	14199.0	22486.0	0.0	3975.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Less PY Adv Proc														
Plus CY Adv Proc														
Net Proc (P-1)	0.0	0.0	14,199.0	15,786.0	0.0	3,975.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Initial Spares				6700.0										
Total Proc Cost	0.0	0.0	14199.0	22486.0	0.0	3975.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Flyaway U/C														
Wpn Sys Proc U/C														

DESCRIPTION: This procurement provides Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS) and Multifunctional Information Distribution System (MIDS) Terminals wi Kits as Government Furnished Equipment for integration into various Theater Air and Missile Defense Platforms. The funding will be provided to the Services as JTIDS Joi Program Office as the procuring activity via Military Interdepartmental Purchasing Request. These funds will be combined with other Service and program funds to create a contract buy at economical production rate. Procurement from this single budget line ensures a single configuration of the terminal for all platforms. Modification kits for Til Reallocation are planned to accommodate anticipated JTIDS network loading. JTIDS Spares Kits are the required spare parts to field and logistically support past and pres JTIDS Terminals at all operational levels.

JUSTIFICATION: The JTIDS and MIDS Terminals and the Tactical Data Link-JTIDS (TADIL-J) are the cornerstones for TAMD Interoperability. These terminals, with requirements in the Joint Data Network to share early warning and cueing information with multiple interservice platforms. This interoperability will allow detection an of targets at greater ranges and increase potential for multiple engagements and a higher probability of kill. FY 01 funds the program management and software support requirements.

_	Total Prog
	30
	40660.0
	33960.0
	6700.0
	40660.0

I (JTIDS) and Multifunctional Information Distribution System (MIDS) Terminals with Spare sile Defense Platforms. The funding will be provided to the Services as JTIDS Joint t. These funds will be combined with other Service and program funds to create a single ures a single configuration of the terminal for all platforms. Modification kits for Time Slot ares Kits are the required spare parts to field and logistically support past and present

OIL-J) are the cornerstones for TAMD Interoperability. These terminals, with required Spare on with multiple interservice platforms. This interoperability will allow detection and tracking probability of kill. FY 01 funds the program management and software support

Exhibit P-5, Weapon		Appropriation/ Budg Procurement, Defe					n Nomenclature: Missile Defense - BN	M/C3I (C03261)		Weapon System T	ype:
WPN SYST Cost Analysis		Procurement, Dele		Major Equipment			viissile Deletise - Di	W/C31 (C03201)			
Weapon System	ID		FY 98			FY 99			FY 00	1	
Cost Elements	CD	TotalCost \$000	Qty Each	UnitCost \$000	TotalCost \$OOO	Qty Each	UnitCost \$000	TotalCost \$000	Qty Each	UnitCost \$000	TotalCos \$000
JTIDS Terminals		,,,,,,		<b>,</b>			7000	,,,,,		7	,,,,,,
JTIDS Spare Kits		14199	26	546	6700	12	558				
ITIDS Time Slot Reallocation Modification Kits											
MIDS Terminals					15786	30	526				
MIDS Spare Kits											
Program and Software Support											3
Total for Terminals					15786	30	526				
Total for Spare Kits		14199	26	546	6700	12	558				

ı	Date:	ebruary 2000
		•
	FY 01 Qty	UnitCost
	Each	UnitCost \$000
		7,000
		1

Exhibit P-5a, Budget Procurement History and Planning								Date:	
Appropriation / Budget Activit	y/Serial No:	, <u> </u>	Weapon System Type:			P-1 Line Item Nomenclature:			
Procurement, [	Defense-wide / 1 / Major Equipment				Theater Missile Defense - BM/C31 (C032				
WBS Cost Elements:		Contractor and Location	Contract Method	Location of PCO	Award Date		QTY	Unit Cost	Specs Avail
Fiscal Years			and Type			Delivery	Each	\$000	Now?
JTIDS Spare Kits	FY98	General Electric Cooperation Electronic Systems Corporation in Wayne, NJ	SS/FP	USAF	Sep-98	Nov-99	28	0.400	YES
JTIDS Spare Kits	FY99	General Electric Cooperation Electronic Systems Corporation in Wayne, NJ	SS/FP	USAF	Sep-99	Nov-00	12	0.400	YES
MIDS Terminals	FY99	General Electric Cooperation Electronic Systems Corporation in Wayne, NJ	SS/FP	USAF	4/1/00*	Jun-00	30	0.400	YES

\*PBD 290C authorized the use of FY99 funds to procure MIDS Terminals and MIDS Spares in FYOO.

February 2000

61)								
RFP Issue Date								

FYOO.